arXiv:gr-qc/0403120v1 31 Mar 2004

LAGRANGIAN AND HAMILTONIAN FOR THE BONDI-SACHS METRICS

J. Korbicz* and J. Tafel**
*Institute of Theoretical Physics, University of Hannover, Appelstr. 2, D-30167 Hannover, Germany, email: jarek@itp.uni-hannover.de
**Institute of Theoretical Physics, University of Warsaw, Hoża 69, 00-681 Warsaw, Poland, email: tafel@fuw.edu.pl

Abstract. We calculate the Hilbert action for the Bondi-Sachs metrics. It yields the Einstein vacuum equations in a closed form. Following the Dirac approach to constrained systems we investigate the related Hamiltonian formulation.

PACS number: 4.20

1 Introduction

This paper is a folow up of Refs [1][2] in which we studied the Bondi-Sachs metrics [3][4] in relation to the Penrose conformal approach to the asymptotical flatness [5][6]. Here we consider the Lagrangian and Hamiltonian formulation of the Einstein theory (in vacuum) for these metrics. We derive the exact Einstein equations and we single out those of them which are constraints from the point of view of an evolution with respect to the Bondi time u. We perform also a preliminary study of the related canonical formulation in a manner similar to that of Goldberg [7][8] (see also [9], where the Ashtekar variables are used for a null foliation). Our approach differs substantially from canonical formalism on asymptotically null hyperboloids (see e.g. [10] [11] and references therein).

Unlike in [1][2], in this paper we consider Bondi-Sachs metrics using the affine distance instead of the luminosity one. Thus, we assume that spacetime metric $\tilde{g}$ of signature $+---$ takes the form

$$\tilde{g} = du(\tilde{g}_{00}du + 2dr + 2\tilde{\omega}_A dx^A) + r^2 g_{AB}dx^A dx^B \ . \tag{1}$$

The coordinates u and r are interpreted, respectively, as a retarted time and a distance from matter sources and x^A, $A = 2, 3$, are coordinates on the 2-dimensional sphere S_2 with the standard metric s_{AB}. The function $\tilde{g}_{00}$, the form $\tilde{\omega}_A dx^A$ and the tensor $g_{AB}dx^A dx^B$ are regular on $[a, b] \times [r_0, \infty] \times S_2$. In order to guarantee the asymptotical flatness of $\tilde{g}$ at null infinity these objects are assumed to admit the following expansions with respect to $\Omega = r^{-1}$

$$\tilde{g}_{00} = 1 - 2M\Omega + O(\Omega^2) \ , \tag{2}$$

$$\tilde{\omega}_A = \psi_A + \Omega\kappa_A + O(\Omega^2) \ , \tag{3}$$

$$g_{AB} = -s_{AB} + \Omega n_{AB} + O(\Omega^2) \ , \tag{4}$$

with coefficients depending on u and x^A. In accordance with the Einstein equations we also assume that

$$s^{AB}n_{AB} = 0 \ . \tag{5}$$

Above properties of $\tilde{g}$ assure that the conformally equivalent metric $g = \Omega^2\tilde{g}$ is well defined up to the scri $\mathscr{I}^+$ [6], where $\Omega = 0$.

Equation (5) is a reminiscent of the luminosity condition

$$\det g_{AB} = \det s_{AB} \tag{6}$$

considered in original papers of Bondi et al [3] and Sachs [4]. In this paper we replace (6) by (5) and

$$\tilde{g}_{01} = 1 \tag{7}$$

in order to simplify the Lagrangian formulation. Now r is the affine parameter for null geodesics generating surfaces $u =$const,

$$\tilde{g}^{\alpha\beta}u_{|\alpha}\partial_\beta = \partial_r \ , \tag{8}$$

but M is not the Bondi mass aspect. Under assumptions (1)-(5) one can always pass from (7) to (6) by means of the transformation

$$r = ar' \ , \tag{9}$$

where

$$a = (\frac{\det g_s}{\det g_2})^{1/4} = 1 + b\Omega^2 + O(\Omega^3) \tag{10}$$

and b does not depend on r. Under this transformation the fields n_{AB} and ψ_A do not change and

$$M' = M - b_{,u} \ , \tag{11}$$

$$\kappa'_A = \kappa_A + b_{,A} \ . \tag{12}$$

Note that M' is the Bondi mass aspect.

Substituting (1)-(5) into the Einstein vacuum equations yields

$$\psi_A = -\frac{1}{2}n^B{}_{A|B} \ , \tag{13}$$

$$g_{AB} = -(1 + \frac{1}{8}\Omega^2 n^2)s_{AB} + \Omega n_{AB} + O(\Omega^3) \tag{14}$$

(hence $b = \frac{1}{16}n^2$) and the following relations between the u-derivatives, denoted by a dot, of the coefficients M, n_{AB} and κ_A

$$\dot{\hat{M}} = -\frac{1}{8}\dot{n}^{AB}\dot{n}_{AB} \ , \tag{15}$$

$$\dot{\kappa}_A = (\frac{1}{4}n\dot{n} - \frac{2}{3}M)_{,A} - \frac{1}{3}n_{AB}\dot{n}^{BC}{}_{|C} + (\frac{1}{6}\dot{n}_{AC}n^{C}{}_{B} - \frac{1}{3}n^{C}{}_{[A|B]C})^{|B} \ . \tag{16}$$

Here

$$\hat{M} = M - \frac{1}{8}n\dot{n} + \frac{1}{4}n^{AB}{}_{|AB} \ , \tag{17}$$

n^2 denotes $n_{AB}n^{AB}$ and the metric $-s_{AB}$ is used to lower and raise the indices A, B and to define the covariant derivatives $_{|A}$ (note that this convention changes in the next sections).

Concerning a physical meaning of different fields on $\mathscr{I}^+$ we note that $\hat{M}$ can be used instead of the Bondi mass aspect to describe the total energy related to a section Σ of the scri $\mathscr{I}^+$ [12][2]

$$E(\Sigma) = \frac{1}{4\pi}\int_{\Sigma} \hat{M} d\sigma \ . \tag{18}$$

Equation (15) is responsible for the energy loss formula [13][3][4]. The traceless tensor $\dot{n}_{AB}$ corresponds to the Bondi news function. Functions κ_A play an important role in a description of the angular momentum at null infinity (see e.g. [6] and references therein).

2 Lagrangian and equations

In this section we consider the Hilbert action (modulo the constant $c^3/16\pi G$)

$$I = -\int d^4x \sqrt{|\tilde{g}|}\tilde{R} \tag{19}$$

for metrics (1). Since the metric components $\tilde{g}_{1\mu}$ are fixed the variational principle for (19) yields all the Einstein equations except $\tilde{G}^{1\mu} = 0$, where $\tilde{G}^{\mu\nu}$ is the Einstein tensor of $\tilde{g}$. The lacking equations are also fulfilled provided all other Einstein equations are satisfied as well as asymptotic conditions (2)-(5) and equations (15), (16) (see [4] [14] and discussion at the end of this section). We postpone here the problem how to obtain the latter equations from a Lagrangian defined on $\mathscr{I}^+$. We treat these equations as definitions of functions M and κ_A in terms of their initial values and functions n_{AB}.

In order to express the action (19) in a form corresponding to the ADM action [15] we procceed as follows. First we complete the metric by the term $-\epsilon dr^2$, where ϵ is a positive parameter. Then we find the ADM action related to the spacelike foliation by u =const and, after removing singular terms, we take its limit when $\epsilon \to 0$. This approach leads to the following representation of the action integral I (up to boundary terms)

$$I = \int d^4x \ \tilde{\sigma}[\dot{\tilde{g}}^{AB}\tilde{p}_{AB} - \tilde{\lambda}(\tilde{p}^{A}{}_{A,1} + \tilde{p}_{AB}\tilde{p}^{AB}) - 2\tilde{\omega}^{A}\tilde{p}_{AB}{}^{|B} - \frac{1}{2}\tilde{g}_{AB}\tilde{\omega}^{A}_{,1}\tilde{\omega}^{B}_{,1}]. \tag{20}$$

Here $x^1 = r$, $\tilde{\sigma} = \sqrt{\det \tilde{g}_{AB}}$ and all operations on indices A, B, including covariant derivatives, are defined by $\tilde{g}_{AB}$. Function $\tilde{\lambda}$ is related to $\tilde{g}_{00}$ via

$$\tilde{g}_{00} = \tilde{\lambda} + \tilde{\omega}_A\tilde{\omega}^A \tag{21}$$

and functions $\tilde{p}_{AB}$ are given by

$$\tilde{p}_{AB} = -\frac{1}{2}\tilde{g}_{AB,1} + (\ln\tilde{\sigma})_{,1}\tilde{g}_{AB} \ . \tag{22}$$

In order to control behaviour of fields at null infinity it is convenient to express the action (20) in terms of the unphysical metric $g = \Omega^2\tilde{g}$

$$g = du[(\lambda + \omega_A\omega^A)du - 2d\Omega + 2\omega_A dx^A] + g_{AB}dx^A dx^B \ . \tag{23}$$

Then one obtains

$$I = \int d^4x \ \tilde{\sigma}[\dot{g}^{AB}p_{AB} + \lambda(p^A{}_{A,1} - p_{AB}p^{AB}) - 2\omega^A p_{AB}{}^{|B} - \frac{1}{2}g_{AB}\omega^A_{,1}\omega^B_{,1}] \ , \tag{24}$$

where now $x^1 = \Omega = \frac{1}{r}$, all operations on indices A, B correspond to the metric g_{AB},

$$p_{AB} = \frac{1}{2}g_{AB,1} - (\ln\sigma)_{,1}g_{AB} \tag{25}$$

and $\sigma = \sqrt{\det g_{AB}}$. We notice that $\omega^A = \tilde{\omega}^A$, $\lambda = \Omega^2\tilde{\lambda}$, $g_{AB} = \Omega^2\tilde{g}_{AB}$ and $p_{AB} = \tilde{p}_{AB} - \Omega\tilde{g}_{AB}$. Conditions (2) and (3) are equivalent to

$$\lambda = \Omega^2 - 2M\Omega^3 + O(\Omega^4), \tag{26}$$

$$\omega_A = \Omega^2\psi_A + \Omega^3\kappa_A + O(\Omega^4). \tag{27}$$

The asymptotic behaviour of g_{AB} is governed by (4) and (5).

Let us consider the action I as a function of λ, ω^A and g^{AB}. The variable λ appears in (24) as a Lagrange multiplier, in a similar way as the lapse function in the ADM formalism. The variation of I with respect to λ yields the equation

$$p^A{}_{A,1} - p_{AB}p^{AB} = 0 \tag{28}$$

which is equivalent to the Einstein equation $\tilde{G}_{11} = 0$. Equation (28) is a constraint from the point of view of the evolution with respect to u . In terms of $g_{\mu\nu}$ it reads

$$\frac{1}{4}g_{AB,1}g^{AB}_{\ \ ,1} - \frac{1}{2}(\ln\sigma)_{,11} = 0. \tag{29}$$

Equation (29) reduces the number of independent degrees of freedom in g_{AB} to two.

The variation of (24) with respect to ω^A leads to constraints

$$(\tilde{\sigma}g_{AB}\omega^B_{\ ,1})_{,1} - 2\tilde{\sigma}p_{AB}{}^{|B} = 0 \tag{30}$$

which are equivalent to the Einstein equations $\tilde{G}_{1A} = 0$ (we keep $\tilde{\sigma}$ instead of $\sigma\Omega^{-2}$ in order to shorten notation). It follows from (30) that fields ω^A can be defined by a double integration of some functions of g_{AB} and their derivatives tangent to the surfaces of constant u. In this procedure the coefficients κ_A appear as the integration constants (hence, condition (16) can be imposed).

The variation of (24) with respect to g^{AB} yields dynamical equations equivalent to $\tilde{G}_{AB} = 0$. They read

$$\begin{aligned} &\dot{g}_{AB,1} + \tfrac{\tilde{\sigma}_{,1}}{2\tilde{\sigma}}\dot{g}_{AB} + \tfrac{\dot{\sigma}}{2\sigma}g_{AB,1} + g^{CD}g_{C(A,1}(\omega_{B)|D} - \dot{g}_{B)D} - \tfrac{\lambda}{2}g_{B)D,1}) - \tfrac{1}{2}(\omega^C g_{AB,1})_{|C} \\ &+\tfrac{1}{\tilde{\sigma}}(\tfrac{\lambda}{2}\tilde{\sigma}g_{AB,1} - \tilde{\sigma}\omega_{(A|B)})_{,1} - \tfrac{1}{2}g_{AC}g_{BD}\omega^C_{\ ,1}\omega^D_{\ ,1} + [\tfrac{\lambda}{2}S + \tfrac{1}{4}(\dot{g}_{CD} - 2\omega_{C|D})g^{CD}_{\ \ ,1} \\ &-\tfrac{1}{4}g_{CD}\omega^C_{\ ,1}\omega^D_{\ ,1} + \omega^C(\ln\sigma)_{,1C} + \tfrac{1}{\tilde{\sigma}}(\tilde{\sigma}\omega^C_{\ |C})_{,1} - \tfrac{1}{2\tilde{\sigma}}(\lambda\tilde{\sigma})_{,11} - \tfrac{1}{\tilde{\sigma}}\dot{\tilde{\sigma}}_{,1} - (\tfrac{\dot{\sigma}}{\sigma})_{,1}]g_{AB} = 0, \end{aligned} \qquad (31)$$

where S denotes the l.h.s. of (29).

Under conditions (2)-(5) expanding equations (30) and (31) into powers of Ω implies relations (13) and (14). It follows from them and from equations (15) and (16) that $\Omega^{-2}\tilde{G}_{0\mu} = 0$ on $\mathscr{I}^+$ (equivalently, $\Omega^{-2}\tilde{G}^{1\mu} = 0$ on $\mathscr{I}^+$). By virtue of the Bianchi identities these boundary conditions assure that $\tilde{G}^{1\mu} = 0$ everywhere. Thus, the variational principle for (19) yields a complete set of the Einstein equations under assumptions (15) and (16).

3 Hamiltonian

Let us consider a canonical formulation based on the Lagrangian density

$$\mathcal{L} = \tilde{\sigma}[\dot{g}^{AB}p_{AB} + \lambda(p^A_{\ A,1} - p_{AB}p^{AB}) - 2\omega^A p_{AB}^{\ \ \ |B} - \frac{1}{2}g_{AB}\omega^A_{,1}\omega^B_{,1}] \qquad (32)$$

corresponding to the action (24). Since λ is a Lagrange multiplier we take the space of fields (g^{AB}, ω^A) as the configuration space. Elements of the phase space are given by $(g^{AB}, \omega^A, \pi_{AB}, \Pi_A)$, where π_{AB} and Π_A are the momenta conjugate, respectively, to g^{AB} and ω^A. Since the Lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}$ is linear in $\dot{g}^{AB}$ the inverse Legendre transformation is not well defined. Following the standard procedure of Dirac [16][17] we treat equations

$$\pi_{AB} - \tilde{\sigma}p_{AB} = 0 \qquad (33)$$

as constraints which should follow from a total Hamiltonian. Apart from that, since $\mathcal{L}$ contains no time derivative of ω^A we must add further constraints

$$\Pi_A = 0. \qquad (34)$$

Constraints (33), (34) together with (29) are primary constraints of the theory. Taking them into account leads to the following completion of the canonical hamiltonian density $\pi_{AB}\dot{g}^{AB} - \mathcal{L}$

$$\mathcal{H} = \tilde{\sigma}[2\omega^A p_{AB}^{\ \ \ |B} + \frac{1}{2}g_{AB}\omega^A_{,1}\omega^B_{,1}] - \lambda\tilde{\sigma}S + \mu^{AB}\phi_{AB} + \nu^A\Pi_A, \qquad (35)$$

where μ^{AB}, ν^A are Lagrange multipliers and ϕ_{AB} denotes the l.h.s. of constraint (33). We notice that $\mathcal{H}$ is not a sum of constraints, unlike in the ADM calculus. The Hamiltonian functional is defined as $H = \int d^3x\mathcal{H}$ (we disregard here boundary terms and problems with possible internal singularities of surfaces $u =$const).

The next step of Dirac's algorithm is to assure a proper propagation of all constraints. From

$$\{\phi_{AB}, H\} = 0 \tag{36}$$

we get complicated equations which do not involve time derivatives and can be treated as conditions imposed on the multipliers μ^{AB} and λ. By virtue of the Hamilton equations

$$\dot{g}^{AB} = \frac{\delta H}{\delta \pi_{AB}} = \mu^{AB} \ , \quad \dot{\omega}^A = \frac{\delta H}{\delta \Pi_A} = \nu^A \tag{37}$$

equations (36) become equivalent to (31) (using this fact one can easily reconstruct an explicit form of (36)). Thus, the dynamical equations (31) are encoded in the conditions on the Lagrange multipliers.

The propagation of the constraint (29) gives another condition on μ^{AB}

$$\mu^{AB}_{\ \ ,1} g_{AB,1} - \mu_{AB,1} g^{AB}_{\ \ ,1} + 2\mu^A_{\ A,11} = 0 \ , \tag{38}$$

whereas constraint (34) leads to equation (30). Since (30) does not contain any Lagrange multiplier it is a secondary constraint of the theory. Its time derivative yields equations

$$\begin{aligned} &\tfrac{1}{\tilde{\sigma}}[\tilde{\sigma} g_{AB} \nu^B_{,1} - \tilde{\sigma}\omega^B_{,1}(\mu_{AB} + \tfrac{1}{2}\mu g_{AB})]_{,1} + (\mu_{AB,1} - \mu_B^{\ C} g_{AC,1} + \tfrac{1}{2}\mu g_{AB,1})^{|B} \\ &\qquad -\tfrac{1}{2} g_{BC,1} \mu^{BC}_{\ \ \ |A} - \mu_{,1A} - \mu(\ln\sigma)_{,1A} = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

(here $\mu = \mu^A_A$) which can be considered as conditions on the multipliers ν^A.

Thus, we end up with conditions (36), (38), (39) on the Lagrange multipliers and with constraints (29), (30), (33), (34) on the fields g^{AB}, ω^A and their momenta π_{AB}, Π_A. All these constraints are second class in Dirac's terminology (the lack of first class constraints is related to fixing coordinates in metric (1)). The nonvanishing Poisson brackets of the constraints are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \{\phi_{AB}, \phi(\alpha^{CD})\} &= \tilde{\sigma}\alpha_{AB,1} + \tfrac{1}{2}\alpha_{AB}\tilde{\sigma}_{,1} + \tfrac{1}{4}\alpha\tilde{\sigma} g_{AB,1} - \tilde{\sigma}\alpha^C_{\ \ (A} g_{B)C,1} \\ &\quad -[\tfrac{1}{4}\tilde{\sigma}\alpha^{CD} g_{CD,1} + \tilde{\sigma}\alpha_{,1} + \tfrac{1}{2}\alpha\tilde{\sigma}_{,1}] g_{AB} \end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

$$\{\phi_{AB}, S(\beta)\} = -\tfrac{1}{2} g_{AB}\beta_{,11} + \tfrac{1}{2}\beta_{,1} g_{AB,1} + \tfrac{1}{2}\beta(g_{AB,11} - g^{CD} g_{AC,1} g_{BD,1}) \tag{41}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \{\psi_A, \phi(\alpha^{BC})\} &= -\tfrac{1}{\tilde{\sigma}}[\tilde{\sigma}\omega^B_{,1}(\alpha_{AB} + \tfrac{1}{2}\alpha g_{AB})]_{,1} + (\alpha_{AB,1} - \alpha_B^{\ C} g_{AC,1} + \tfrac{1}{2}\alpha g_{AB,1})^{|B} \\ &\quad -\tfrac{1}{2} g_{BC,1}\alpha^{BC}_{\ \ \ |A} - \alpha_{,1A} - \alpha(\ln\sigma)_{,1A} \end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

$$\{\Pi_A, \psi(\gamma^B)\} = -(\tilde{\sigma} g_{AB} \gamma^B_{\ ,1})_{,1}. \tag{43}$$

Here ψ_A denotes the l.h.s. of (30) and α^{AB}, β, γ^A are smooth smearing functions (e.g. $\psi(\gamma^A) = \int d^3x \psi_A \gamma^A$ and $\alpha = \alpha^A_{\ A}$).

An alternative possibility of the Hamiltonian formulation is to treat the variables ω^A in the Lagrangian (32) as functions of g_{AB} defined by equations (30) (the resulting expressions for ω^A are still simpler than those for ν^A in the previous formulation). The corresponding Euler-Lagrange equations remain equivalent to the Einstein equations.

Now the phase space consists of elements (g^{AB}, π_{AB}). The total Hamiltonian density is given by (35) with $\nu^A = 0$. Equations (29) and (33) are the primary constraints. Their propagation yields equations (36) and (38) which define the Lagrange multipliers λ and μ^{AB}. In this formulation the number of constraints and Lagrange multipliers is substantially reduced but still the Hamiltonian picture remains quite complicated.

4 Concluding remarks

We transformed the Hilbert action for the Bondi-Sachs metrics to the forms (20) and (24) similar to the ADM action. The variational principle for the action (24) yields equations (29)-(31) which are equivalent to the Einstein vacuum equations provided the r-independent equations (15), (16) are satisfied. Equations (29), (30) are constraints from the point of view of the evolution with respect to the Bondi time u. They can be solved in terms of integrals over Ω (or r).

We analyzed briefly the corresponding Hamiltonian formulation. The Dirac method for constrained systems leads to the Hamiltonian (35) (or its truncation with $\nu^A = 0$) with the Lagrange multipliers which carry the main information about dynamics of the system. A striking result is that the Hamiltonian does not vanish when the Einstein equations are satisfied. The Lagrange multipliers are defined implicitly via a complicated system of differential equations. There are six second class constraints and no first class constraints.

Acknowledgements. This work was partially supported by the Polish Committee for Scientific Research (grant 2 PO3B 12724). JK acknowledges the support of European Commission through the project "EQUIP" within the framework of the IST-program.

References

[1] J. Tafel and S. Pukas, Class. Quantum Grav. **17** (2000), 1559.

[2] J.Tafel, Class. Quantum Grav. **17** (2000), 4397.

[3] H. Bondi, M.G.J. van der Burg and A.W.K. Metzner, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A269 (1962), 21.

[4] R.K. Sachs, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A270 (1962), 103.

[5] R. Penrose, Phys. Rev. Lett. 10 (1963), 66.

[6] R. Penrose and W. Rindler, *Spinors and spacetime*, vol. II, Cambridge Univerity Press, 1986.

[7] J.N. Goldberg, Found. Phys. **14** (1984), 1211.

[8] J.N. Goldberg, Found. Phys. **15** (1985), 439.

[9] J. N. Goldberg, D. C. Robinson, C. Soteriou, Class. Quantum Grav. **9**, 1309 (1992)

[10] A. Ashtekar and M. Streubel, Proc. Roy. Soc. London **376** (1981), 585.

[11] P.T. Chruściel, J. Jezierski and J. Kijowski, *Hamiltonian Field Theory in the Radiation Regime*, Springer, Berlin 2002.

[12] P.T.Chruściel, J. Jezierski and M.A.H. MacCallum, Phys. Rev. D58 (1998), 084001.

[13] A. Trautman, Bull. Acad. Pol. Sci., Serie sci. math. astr. et phys.VI (1958), 407; King's College lecture notes on general relativity, mimeographed notes (unpublished), 1958.

[14] E.T. Newman and T. Unti, J. Math. Phys. **3** (1962), 891.

[15] R. Arnowitt, S. Deser and C.W. Misner , in *Gravitation: An Introduction to Current Research*, ed. L. Witten, Wiley, New York 1962.

[16] P. A. M. Dirac, *The Principles of Quantum Mechanics*, Oxford Clarendon Press, Oxford 1958.

[17] A. Hanson, T. Regge, C. Teitelboim *Constrained Hamiltonian Systems*, Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei, Rome 1976